Morphological evidence of sexual dimorphism in a Continental anole species of south of Mexico
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Abstract. Badillo-Saldaña LM, García-Rosales A, Lara-Tufiño JD, Ramírez-Bautista A. 2019. Morphological evidence of sexual dimorphism in a Continental anole species of southeastern Mexico. Biodiversitas 20: 3347-3351. Interssexual differences (sexual dimorphism; SD) have been observed in many traits of vertebrate and invertebrate species. These differences evolved as a response to ecological factors, such as the display of morphological and behavioral attributes during reproduction, territorial defense, or differential use of resources. However, some species of lizards do not show high SD, as is the case of some slender small body-sized anoles of the Caribbean Islands. Despite extensive knowledge about SD in island anoles, SD has not been evaluated for most mainland species. Therefore, the goal of this study was to evaluate the SD of a small body-sized species (Anolis unilobatus) throughout its distribution in Mexico, making use of eight morphological characteristics and multivariate analyses. The results showed that snout-vent (SVL) and forearm (FAL) lengths of males are larger than those of females. This could be explained by males being more territorial, so larger size will make them more successful in defending their territory from other males. Therefore, the difference in SVL and FAL between males and females of this species could be related to defense of their territory. The differences observed in morphological structures between males and females could thus support the hypothesis of sexual selection.
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INTRODUCTION

Sexual dimorphism (SD) is defined as the set of intersexual differences in morphology, physiology, and behavior (Slatkin 1984; Mori et al. 2017). In squamate lizards, differences between sexes are observed in snout-vent length (SVL), body mass, length and width of the head, and length of the tibia and femur (Andrews and Stamps 1994; Elstrott and Irschick 2004). These differences have been related to ecological factors; for example: (i) territorial defense, where the largest and most striking males can scare away invaders more quickly and/or attract a greater number of females than smaller and less striking males (sexual selection; Carranza 1994; Ramírez-Bautista and Vitt 1997); (ii) niche divergence, which suggests that the development of different morphological characteristics can allow male and female to feed on prey of different sizes to avoid overlapping alimentary niches (Hierlihy et al. 2013), or exploit different types of microhabitats (Ramírez-Bautista and Benabib 2001; Butler et al. 2007). This being so, evolution of SD could reduce intraspecific competition by favoring the differential use of resources (space and food) between males and females (Butler et al. 2007), and (iii) fecundity, in which larger females can produce larger litters and/or larger offspring (Pincheira-Donoso and Hunt 2015).

In most species of lizards, SD has been observed on SVL, body mass (Fitch 1978), head size and shape, perch height (Ramírez-Bautista and Benabib 2001), growth rate (Hernández-Salinas et al. 2019), mortality (Ramírez-Bautista 1995), and SVL at sexual maturity (Benabib 2009). SD in the genus Anolis has been explained with reference to gular dewlap and other morphologic traits associated with body shape (ecomorphs) in West Island Anolis lizards (Butler et al. 2007; Losos 2009; Johnson and Wade 2010), while SD in mainland Anolis species has mostly been related to SVL, perch use, and diet (Perry 1996; Ramírez-Bautista and Vitt 1997; Ramírez-Bautista and Benabib 2001). Despite this, there is little information about SD in most of the mainland Anolis species; therefore, it is necessary to determine whether SD occurs in other morphological structures beyond previously reported (Márquez et al. 2005; Siliceo-Cantero et al. 2016; Hernández-Salinas et al. 2019). Therefore, the goal of this study is to analyze the SD of a tiny mainland anole species Anolis unilobatus Köhler and Vesely 2010; east pacific and central depression Chiapas clade see Gray et al. 2019 (We will refer as Anolis unilobatus to the populations of the east pacific lineage, but we are aware of these populations belong to an evolutionarily independent lineage to the populations of the west pacific and of central America Gray et al. 2019). For this, we analyzed sexual differences in eight morphological characteristics through multivariate statistics. Anolis unilobatus is a slender, small body-sized, fast growth rate, with a short life expectancy such as many species of small-bodied-sized (Ramírez-Bautista and Vitt
This species inhabits tropical forest, occupying tree branch and grass microhabitats (Lee 1980), as well as tree trunks, which has been referred to as a trunk ecomorph (Lee 1980). This species reaches sexual maturity at a size equal to or larger than 35.0 mm in SVL (Lee 1996). The reproductive season occurs once a year before the rainy season. During the breeding season, males compete with each other to establish themselves in the best territories, in order to gain access to as many females as possible (Lee 1980; 1996). Therefore, competition between males can favor larger and stronger males. In this sense, sexual dimorphism could be supported by the hypothesis of sexual selection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Data collection

This study was conducted in five localities (Figure 1) along with the distribution of Anolis unilobatus east pacific clade (see Gray et al. 2019). To avoid bias related to ecological or ontogenetic processes, all sites were surveyed in the same season, during April 10-16, 2017. At each locality, whenever possible, the first ten adult lizards observed were collected; however, due to the unequal proportion of sexes, an equitable sample between them was not obtained. For this, we consider as adult individuals those specimens that had a SVL equal to or larger than 35 mm, as reported by Lee (1980). For each location, a sampling day was used and carried out between 09:00 am to 18:00 pm. Snout-vent length and body mass (BM) of each individual was measured immediately after capture using a Mitutoyo digital caliper (model CD-8”CX; ± 0.05 mm) and a Pesola spring balance (± 0.01g), respectively. Once collected, individuals were euthanized using a sodium pentobarbital injection, then fixed in 40% formalin and subsequently stored in 70% alcohol. All specimens were deposited in the Laboratorio de Ecología de Poblaciones in the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo. Collection was approved by scientific collection permit SGPA/DGVS/04287/16 issued by SEMARNAT. In laboratory, six morphometric variables of the preserved individuals were measured, following the nomenclature of Köhler (2014): groin-underarm length (GUL), arm length (AL), forearm length (FAL), femur length (FL), tibia length (TL), and the sub-digital lamella number on the fourth toe of the hindfoot (NL).

Data analysis

To eliminate the effect of SVL on weight, groin-underarm, forearm, arm, femur, and tibia lengths, these variables were entered into a multiple regression model using SVL as the independent variable. Sexual dimorphism (SD) was tested through a discriminant analysis using the residual values from the regression analysis, with sex as the grouping variable (Butler et al. 2007). Subsequently, a canonical correlation analysis was performed to objectively and clearly observe differences between sexes (Badii et al. 2007). All analyses were calculated using Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Figure 1. Map of sampling localities in the distribution of Anolis unilobatus. n= individuals collected at each locality.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, 44 individuals of Anolis unilobatus were caught at the five localities explored. Eight morphologic characteristics of 17 females and 27 males were measured. The discriminant function analysis showed significant differences between sexes (Wilks λ = 0.46, $F_{8, 35} = 5.04$, $P <0.0003$; Figure 1, Table 1). This result shows that there is SD in this species, and reinforces evidence of the existence of SD in continental anole species. Differences between sexes were observed in SVL and forearm length (Figure 2; Table 1). These differences were corroborated by a canonical correlation analysis, where it was observed that the differences between sexes were marked: SVL, $R = -0.65$; forearm length, $R = 0.36$ (Figure 3). This pattern has been observed in Caribbean anole species, where SD may differ depending on the habitat they exploit (Butler et al. 2000; 2007; Losos 2009). In all other analyzed traits, males showed structures not significantly larger than those of females (Table 1).

Figure 2. Plot of variability distribution between males and females of Anolis unilobatus. The abbreviations correspond to SVL= snout-vent length; FAL= Forearm length

Figure 3. Box plot showing canonical values from discriminant function analysis for males and females. Canonical $R = 0.73$, Wilks $\lambda = 0.46$, $X^2 = 29.1$, $P = 0.0002$. Eigenvalue =1.15, cumulative variance = 100%.
Generally, sexual dimorphism has historically been related to body size differences in reptiles (Fitch 1978; Cox et al. 2007; Michael et al. 2014; Valencia-Limón et al. 2014; Ramírez-Bautista et al. 2015; 2016). These studies showed that larger lizard and crocodile males have an advantage in territorial defense battles (Cox et al. 2007), since larger, heavier males and/or those with larger jaws have more strength, endurance, and ability to defend their territory successfully than smaller males (Andersson 1994; Herrel et al. 2007; McEvoy et al. 2013). Therefore, a larger male is capable of maintaining a larger territory, which can provide access to more females during the reproductive season (Butler et al. 2000). In this study, A. unilobatus males are larger in SVL than females. This result agrees with other studies that also found Anolis males to be larger than females (Butler et al. 2000; Marquez et al. 2005). Therefore, SD observed in A. unilobatus could be explained by sexual selection (Butler et al. 2000). However, we have not observed differences in other morphological structures, such as head length (male= 11.2 ± 0.6; female= 10.8 ± 0.6) and width (male= 6.2 ± 0.4; female= 5.9 ± 0.3). This could be due to the sample size or because the males of this species avoid physical fighting; however, it should be corroborated in subsequent studies.

In the genus Anolis, differences in foreleg length between males and females have been shown to be related to the number of androgen receptors present in each sex (Johnson et al. 2018). These receptors are correlated with the number of push-ups that a male can perform (Foster and Higham 2014), which is associated with territorial defense and courtship displays (Losos 2009). Based on the above, the difference in the length of the forearm observed between males and females of A. unilobatus could be because this species shows mating displays beyond simple use of the gular dewlap, since a defensive or attractive body deployment with greater push-ups intensity and quantity could induce greater reproductive success, as occurs in other anole species (Losos et al. 1993). However, although so far there are no studies that evaluate the number of androgen receptors, nor the relationship between the number, frequency and intensity of push-ups in sexual selection for this species, there are studies conducted in other species of the genus Anolis where it is observed that the difference in the number of push-ups among males of different ages has repercussions on the number of females attracted to them (Losos 2000; 2009).

On the other hand, although we do not evaluate ecological aspects, the sexual dimorphism observed in this study could be explained from the point of view of intraspecific divergence of the ecological niche (Berns 2013; Hierlihy et al. 2013). However, it would be difficult to test this hypothesis, since few studies have demonstrated this relationship reliably (Berns 2013). For example, Márquez et al. (2005), Siliceo-Cantero et al. (2016) and Hernández-Salinas et al. (2019), observed that differences in growth rates and head size between the sexes in A. aquaticus and A. nebulosus allow them to feed on different types of prey during their development. Butler et al. (2000) noted that the sexual dimorphism recorded in Anolis species of the Greater Antilles was correlated with the type of microhabitat and perch height that males and females use. Therefore, natural selection and not sexual selection could be the force responsible for maintaining morphological differences between sexes in these species. However, it is necessary to carry out an ontogenetic follow-up on A. unilobatus to determine whether age at sexual maturity could modify our results, since we took measurements only during a single spring pre-mating season.

In conclusion, this study showed that A. unilobatus present SD in SVL and forearm length. The SD was male-biased, as expected. A comprehensive understanding of sexual dimorphism is complicated because the morphological, ecological and behavioral differences between males and females can be the result of a process of sexual selection, natural selection or an interaction between the two (Berns 2013). Since selection pressure, which promotes SD, can be both natural and sexual, both factors can act together to mold the dimorphic traits of lizard species. In this sense, the presence of sexual dimorphism of A. unilobatus may also be associated with geographical and environmental conditions, for example, the environmental transition that occurs in the mountainous regions of central Chiapas, could lead to morphological variations among populations (Lee 1980; Gray et al. 2019). Therefore, future studies on SD of this species should consider including the dynamic interaction between sexual dimorphism and the environmental, spatial and temporal conditions that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n = 27)</th>
<th>Females (n =17)</th>
<th>Wilks λs</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVL</td>
<td>42.90 ± 2.47 (36.1-47.8)</td>
<td>39.34 ± 2.54 (35.0-43.5)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.27 (0.8-1.8)</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.21 (0.8-1.5)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUL</td>
<td>17.81 ± 1.34 (14.6-21.2)</td>
<td>17.10 ± 1.46 (14.4-20.3)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.61 (6.2-8.5)</td>
<td>6.65 ± 0.37 (5.7-7.1)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAL</td>
<td>6.70 ± 0.59 (5.8-7.9)</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.54 (4.8-6.5)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>9.69 ± 0.93 (7.6-11.6)</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.59 (8.3-10.5)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>9.86 ± 1.07 (6.7-11.8)</td>
<td>8.82 ± 0.72 (7.9-10.6)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>23.56 ± 1.52 (21.0-27.0)</td>
<td>22.88 ± 1.69 (21.0-27.0)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of morphological traits used to evaluate sexual dimorphism of Anolis unilobatus. Morphometric variables are as follows: mean ± standard deviation (range). Discriminant function analysis values: Wilks λ, F, significance value=p-value. SVL= snout-vent length, BM= weight, GUL= groin-underrarm length, AL= arm length, FAL= forearm length, FL= femur length, TL= tibia length, NL= sub-digital lamella number on the fourth toe of the hindfoot. All measurements in mm, except weight, which is in grams.
dominate the area of distribution, since these conditions may alter sexual dimorphism within and among populations, as have been documented in other lizard species (Ramírez-Bautista et al. 2014; 2016). Finally, a comprehensive understanding of sexual dimorphism could be achieved by studying different populations and years, as well as diet, habitat and microhabitat use, behavior, and physiology, with the purpose of generating more robust conclusions about the magnitude of the forces that are shaping sexual dimorphism (Butler et al. 2007).
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