Microdosing technology of fertilizer for sorghum production at Shambat, Sudan
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Abstract. Arbab MBM, Dagash YMI. 2017. Microdosing technology of fertilizer for sorghum production at Shambat, Sudan. Cell Biol Dev 1: 18-22. The study was conducted at the experimental farm of Sudan University of Science and Technology, College of Agricultural Studies; Khartoum North-Shambat, to investigate the agronomic response and efficiency of fertilizer microdosing in Sorghum. An experiment with the following treatments was achieved: control without fertilizer, microdosing treatments with the rates of 1, 2, 3 and 4 g NPK per plant hole at sowing. The treatments were arranged in a completely randomized block design with four replications. The experiment was conducted during the growing season of 2015. Weeding was carried once after three weeks from seed germination and irrigated weekly. The following parameters were considered during experimentation; the number of leaves, plant height, node length and stem thickness, while the shoot fresh and dry weights were recorded at termination. The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance and the means were separated by Duncan’s multiple range test. The results obtained showed the progressive improvement of all Sorghum tested characters. There were highly significant differences in plant height, stem thickness, shoot fresh and dry weight. The number of leaves and the node length showed significant differences. The four gram microdose gave the best results.
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INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) Moench; is the world’s fifth most commonly grown cereal crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley Poehlman (1994). Sorghum has many types of cultivated varieties, such as grain genotypes, fodder, fiber and sugar genotypes and dual purpose genotypes. Sorghum belongs to C4 plant characteristic for tolerate a biotic stresses more than many crops Gnansounou et al. 2005. Recently, sorghum had received significant attention because of the newer use as a Biofuel feedstock (Paterson 2008). Assessment of the genetic variability within cultivated crops and varieties has a strong impact on plant breeding strategies and conservation of genetic resources (Dean et al. 1999; Simioniuc et al. 2002) and is particularly useful in the characterization of individuals, accessions and cultivars in germplasm collections and for the choice of parental genotypes in breeding programs (Davila et al. 1998; Ribaut et al. 1998). In the past, indirect estimates of similarity based on morphological information have been widely used in many species including sorghum (Ayana 1999). However, morphological variation does not reliably reflect the real genetic variation because of genotype environment interactions and the largely unknown genetic control of poly-genetically inherited morphological and agronomic traits (Smith and Smith 1992).

Molecular analyses in conjunction with morphological and agronomic evaluation of germplasm are recommended, because these provide complementary information and increase the resolving power of genetic diversity analyses (Singh et al. 1991). Land degradation affects more than half of Africa, leading to loss of an estimated 42 billion dollars and 5 million hectares of productive land each year. The majority of farm lands produce poor yields due to poor farming techniques (nutrient deficiency and irregular watering) (ICRISAT 2009).

The decline in fertility of croplands is the basis of food insecurity in households especially the poor peasants are the most numerous in agriculture in the Sudan region of Mali. According to Sime and Aune (2014), the fallow which was the traditional way to restore the fertility of the land has almost disappeared in some places and in others its duration was significantly reduced because of demographic pressure. The technical packages to sustainably increase production are not within their reach. From the 1980s, there has been a decline in public funding in agriculture and paralysis of the sector of small producers in developing countries because of the structural adjustment policies of the IMF and the World Bank (Azoulay and Saizal 1994; FAO 1995; World Bank 2007).

Many governments in sub-Saharan Africa have made efforts in improving agricultural productivity through the creation of agricultural extension services. But these creations have not fulfilled the expectations of farmers mainly rural women (FAO 2008). The development of sub-Saharan agriculture took from that moment an approach for the identification of technical innovation and communication giving more space to the farmers in the development of appropriate strategies for development. The farmer field school is one of these strategies lying in the extension approach of bottom up allowing farmers to
join the basis for understanding what to achieve in finding appropriate solutions to their development issue. It was piloted in 90 countries and reached 10 to 15 million farmers worldwide (Waddington et al. 2014). There are a lot of results on the evaluation of farmer field schools: Togola et al. (2010), FAO (2011), Braun et al. (2006), Feder et al. (2004), and Piyadasa (2005). There is, against few results on the diffusion of technology from a farmer field school in sub-Saharan Africa (Davis 2006; Baah 2007).

The microdose technology is the application of small mineral fertilizer doses in the seed hole during sowing or next to the seedling after emergence (10 days after sowing). The advantages of this technology as reported by Agricultural technologies of Borkina Faso (2010) are: (i) Location of the fertilizer near the root, thus obtaining a high concentration area which makes assimilation of nutrients easier. (ii) To limits phosphorus fixation phenomena by the soil. (iii) To reduce loss of Potassium (K) and Nitrogen (N) through leaching. (iv) To achieve an early start of plant growth. (v) To increase the efficiency of fertilizer used. (vi) To minimize production cost. (vii) To improve small producers income. (viii) To increase the number of mineral fertilizer users.

However, ICRISAT (2009) mentioned some difficulties accompanied with this technology, which include: (i) The technology is time consuming, or laborites and difficult to ensure each plant gets the right dose. (ii) Access to fertilizer, access to credit, insufficient flow of information and in appropriate training polices to the farmers. (iii) The adoption of the technology requires supportive and complementary institutional innovation as well as input and output market linkage.

As mentioned by many researchers, the technology uses only about one-tenth of the amount typically used on wheat and one-twentieth of the amount used on corn in USA. Yet, the African crops are so starved of nutrients such as phosphorus; potassium and nitrogen even that micro amount often doubles crop yields (Bationo et al. 2015; Bielder 2015).

This study also investigates if people are more likely to adopt the technology if they receive it free of charge and how knowledge passes from farmer via social networks. Thus, the study aimed to fulfill the following objectives: (i) To test the response of sorghum to microdosing practices under Shambat clay soils. (ii) To determine suitable microdosing levels that lead to increase in vegetative yield and consequently the seed. (iii) To minimize the cost of fertilizer application by the minimum dose of fertilizer with maximum utilization by the plant.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental site and treatment**

A field experiment was conducted at the demonstration farm of the College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Shambat Khartoum North, (Latitude 15.40 N., 32, 32 E., elevation 380 m above Sea level). The climate is semi-desert with a low relative humidity and annual rainfall rate 150 mm and a mean temperature of (20.3 C-36.1 C) and clay soil celtic pH 7.5-8.7 Abdulha Feez (2001).

**Plant material and treatments**

The plant material was local variety of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) that obtained from College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology (Shambat). This plant was treated by using four level treatment, i.e. control (without fertilizer; M0), 1 g compound fertilizer microdosing (M1), 2 g compound fertilizer microdosing (M2), 2 g compound fertilizer microdosing (M3) and 4 g compound fertilizer microdosing (M4) in a randomize complete block design (RCBD).

**Cultural practice**

The experimental site was disc ploughed and harrowed, then followed by harrowing and leveling, riding up North-South. The spacing between ridged was 70 cm. Five replications were divided into four plots, each plot was 3×3, consisting of five rows. The sowing data was in December 2015. The seeds were sown in holes each 40 cm a part, the seed were sown at the depth of 20 cm. With fertilizer in the same hole. Weeding was done two time after three weeks from sowing and after one month from the first hand weeding. The plants were watered according to the need.

**Data collection**

Observations were conducted on agronomical traits. Every agronomical trait was observed by selecting five plants of sorghum randomly from each plot except 50% days to flowering. The 50% days to flowering was observed by recording the duration from planting to 50% the plant population were blooming. Plant height was measured from soil surface to the tip of the flag leaf using a measuring tape. Number of leaves per plant was observed by counting the average number of leaves per plant. Length of inter node were measured form one node to other node. Stem diameter was measured using a strip and a ruler and then the mean stem diameter per plant was estimated. Forage fresh yield per plant was measured by weighing the plant. Forage dry yield per plant was measured by drying the plant at the oven (80°C) for 48 hours and then weighed plant.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed according to the standard statistical procedure for a randomized complete block design as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) using MSTAT-C computer package, and the means were separated by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Results**

The results of the study for all tested parameters are indicated in (Table 1) and separate detailed figures from (1-
According to (Table 1), the results revealed that, there are highly significant differences among the treatments for the plant height, stem thickness, shoot fresh and dry weights; while there is a significant difference for the node length and number of leaves. The coefficient of variation for all tested parameters ranged between 3.73-14.47%. The plant height was higher for the 3 g microdose treatment (136 cm) and the lowest for the control (108 cm). Figure 1 revealed higher plant height for 3M followed by 2M but generally the difference was not big. The highest node length was recorded for 4g microdose (16 cm) while the control resulted in the lowest value (12.5 cm). Figure 2 showed a consistent node length for 4M, 3M but 1M was higher than 2M.

The best number of leaves was obtained from the 4 g microdose treatment and the lowest was recorded for the control. Figure 3 for number of leaves favored 4M followed by 2M and then 3M. The 4 g microdose treatment resulted in the best stem thickness (4.36 cm) and the lowest value was recorded for the control (2.63 cm). Figure 4 represent the stem thickness which was following the normal distribution from the high to the low 4M, 3M, 2M, 1M and 0M. The highest values of shoot fresh and dry weights were recorded from 4 g microdose treatment (125 and 54.50 g) while the lowest values were obtained from the control (49.5 and 20.5 g) respectively Figure 5 and 6) for the fresh and dry weights favored 4M followed by 3M.

Table 1. Summary of ANOVA (F. value) of Sorghum bicolor on micro dose experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df of freedom</th>
<th>df of Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>df of Node length (cm)</th>
<th>df of Number of leaves</th>
<th>df of Stem thickness (cm)</th>
<th>df of Shoot fresh weight (g)</th>
<th>df of Shoot dry weight (g)</th>
<th>LSD 5%</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4996</td>
<td>3.2727</td>
<td>0.9057</td>
<td>1.1211</td>
<td>1.2496</td>
<td>0.6128</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36.9939**</td>
<td>4.9773*</td>
<td>5.3774*</td>
<td>15.1966**</td>
<td>237.4937**</td>
<td>57.1195**</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD 5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>14.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ns= not significant, * Significant (5%), ** highly significant (1%),

Figure 1. Effect of microdosing on sorghum plant height

Figure 2. Effect of microdosing on sorghum node length

Figure 3. Effect of microdosing on number of leaves per plant of sorghum

Figure 4. Effect of microdosing on sorghum stem thickness
Discussion

Irrespective of different adverse conditions in the study site during the experimentation, all of the fertilizer rates (microdosing) increased yields compared to the control. This shows that there is a need for applying fertilizer in Sorghum production at most soils of our country. A fertilizer application method that is efficient with a smaller amount of fertilizer is to be the most important for marginal farmers in the central Sudan. Such a method will have high potential to increase farmers’ interest, economic viability and sustainability with respect to applying fertilizer in Sorghum. In this respect, results of this study showed that the microdosing method of fertilizer application was found to improve Sorghum yields with smaller quantities of fertilizer. The results of the study are strongly agreed with those obtained by Khatam et al. 2013; Morris et al. (2007).

Previous studies on the response of Sorghum and pearl millet reported by Palé et al. (2009); Vitale and Sanders (2005), had also shown similar effects that lower fertilizer rates increased crop yields more than the higher rates in microdosing in sub-Saharan countries. Inasmuch as, the results of the study concerning the adoption of microdose technology reported by Agricultural Technologies in Burkina Faso (2010), showed that, the lowest fertilizer rate in microdosing was able to improve sorghum yield more than that of broadcasting in sub-Saharan countries. Similar results were also reported by Bationo (1998); Bagayoko et al. (1996) as they concluded that, the cereals in general revealed lower yield response to the highest fertilizer rate in microdosing and this can be owed that, there is a limit to the dose of fertilizer that can be applied through microdosing. They also noticed that, the high levels of fertilizer found to depress pocket seed germination and lower plant population at harvest and these negative effects on maize performances might be attributed to the burning effects of high doses of fertilizer in the microdosing method of application. Such remarks were also reported by Druilhe and Jesús (2012); FAOSTAT (2011); Coulibaly et al. (2000).

Therefore, if the farmers are practicing microdosing, they can obtain a good yield at a low rate of fertilizer application. Yet, further study based on long-term data is required to rectifying optimum fertilizer rates for the different sites of sub-Saharan countries depending on soil quality and other governing agro-ecological conditions.

As a result, the microdosing method of fertilizer application becomes more efficient in increasing the yield of cereals than the banding and broadcasting method of fertilizer application. This might be due to the fact that placing fertilizer close to the seed in soils increases fertilizer uptake by crops as reported by (FAOSTAT 2011).

This indicates that under a better soil management system and favorable seasonal rainfall conditions, farmers can still get reasonable yields from crops through the application of microdose technology. Although the labor demand in microdosing (4.8 man-days ha$^{-1}$) is nearly twice that in banding (2.3 days ha$^{-1}$) for the application of fertilizers, the microdosing method still appears attractive and viable. Like in several other areas in Ethiopia, the opportunity cost for labor is low in the central rift valley.

In conclusion, 4M (4 g microdosing) revealed the best results for most parameters and are more productive and profitable. In general microdosing followed the normal pattern from the high to the low. Therefore it is recommended to use 4M microdosing.
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