Abstract. Sisay T. 2018. Review: Agriculture-industry linkage and technology adoption in Ethiopia: Challenges and opportunities. Trop Drylands 2: 18-27. High rate of agricultural growth has far-reaching positive implications for economic development of low-income countries in terms of increasing employment and accelerating poverty reduction. For Ethiopia to achieve middle-income status by 2025 and make substantial inroads against food insecurity, concerted and strategic investment and strategic choices in the agricultural sector are vital. Agricultural linkage encloses generating and transferring agricultural technologies to enhance productivity, reduce loss, and improve the livelihoods of beneficiaries as well as the country’s economy. The objective of this paper was to review the current status of linkage between agriculture-industry actors, extent of technology adoption system, challenges and opportunities in Ethiopia. The result of the review study shows that different factors are constraining the system. Most of the agriculture industry linkage and technologies promoted through the extension system and adoption levels are far below the possible expectation in the country. Agriculture research industries lack effective mechanisms of transferring their technologies to the end users, inadequate technological skilled manpower, poor market linkage between technology multiplication enterprises and technology users, lack of responsible body to transfer technology were the major challenges in Ethiopia. Factors constraining the linkage between stakeholders and technology adoption level of Universities, TEVTs, agriculture Research institutions and agriculture sector are wide ranging from poor linkage between stakeholders and weak involvement of professionals are among the main problems that constraining the system. Weak link between research, education, and the contact of these organizations have with farmers is among the main bottlenecks in agricultural technology development, adoption level. Therefore, strong University and Research-industry linkage is needed in the country.
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INTRODUCTION

Agricultural information is defined as the data for decision-making and a resource that must be acquired and used to make an informed decision (Kaske 2007). Information-knowledge is a powerful engine for development, but the attributes of information largely depends on the effective application of the information and the overall package of the technology. Improved agricultural technologies is central to transformation of farming systems and a path out of poverty in developing countries (Besley and Case 1993). Sub-Saharan African countries where agriculture is the predominant sector that underpins the livelihood of the majority of the poor, increasing technology adoption such as new agricultural practices, high-yielding varieties, and the associated products such as crop insurance have the potential to contribute to economic growth and poverty reduction among the poor (Kelsey 2011).

The pathway out of poverty trap of many SSA countries depends on growth and development of the agricultural sector. The main objective of the sustainable development goals is eradicating extreme poverty, hunger and investing in rural areas for inclusive and sustainable rural transformation. This is possible by increasing agricultural productivity through yield-increasing technologies in order to sustain food self-sufficiency. For many years, the government of Ethiopia has been working with extension program in diffusing agricultural technologies to improve smallholders’ crop productivity and farmers’ income from surplus crop production. Paradoxically, recent study indicates that farmers’ use of main agricultural inputs such as high-yielding varieties is less than 5% (Taffes et al. 2013). The low adoption rate and use can be partly explained by limited access to input credit (ATA 2014). Agricultural system performance can be improved by having strong linkage between research, education, extension, farmer and other stakeholders. The aims of agricultural linkage enclose generating and transferring agricultural technological packages to enhance productivity, reducing loss, and improving the livelihoods of the beneficiaries in particular and the countries’ economy in general. In the last decades, agricultural information has increased rapidly however the effective transfer of agricultural information/knowledge is still a big challenge. The main factors affecting the effective transfer of agricultural technological packages to the end-users are knowledge level of the information users, access to information of end users, and readiness of farmers for adoption Taffes et al. (2013).
The country has many problems on the balanced economic growth, as the human population grew rapidly. So as to solve these problems the linkage of agriculture with industry and technology adoption is great. Imbalance between the population growth rate and the agricultural production growth rate is one of the pronounced national problems in Amhara Region and Ethiopia in general. Low-level productivity, due to low level of improved technologies utilization and high risk due to adverse environment are among the most frequently mentioned major causes of the country’s chronic food security problem. In order to meet the food requirements of the growing population, food grains and other agricultural products have to be increased. The immediate available means to attain the national goal of food self-sufficiency is improving productivity through improved technologies. Improved seeds, fertilizer, farming tools, pesticides etc. are some of the major productivity-enhancing inputs.

Various factors contributed to the low productivity of the agricultural sector in the country. Of all the barriers, the low level of agricultural technology development and innovative technological package transfer system by smallholder farmers are among the important factors (Kassa 2003). Although agricultural extension has long history in Ethiopia, the coverage is very low and the linkage of the actors of the system is very poor, which is the main reason for low adoption of improved agricultural technology/production system and inputs (Kassa 2003). The problem of weak linkages, existing gaps and poor inter-organizational relation still exist (Belay 2003). This calls for improvement of the linkage between the different stakeholders of the sector and adoption level so as to improve the livelihoods of smallholder farmers in particular and nations in general. Therefore, the main purpose of the current review study was to assess the status of the existing link between agriculture and industries, technology adoption of the institutions so as to indicate the future intervention areas in the country.

AGRICULTURE AND INDUSTRY LINKAGE IN ETHIOPIA

Ethiopian agriculture development for growth

For Ethiopia to achieve middle-income status by 2025 and make substantial inroads against food insecurity, concerted and strategic investment and strategic choices in the agricultural sector are vital. Concentrations of food insecurity and malnutrition are endemic in rural areas, with a population of six to seven million chronically food insecure, and up to 13 million seasonally food insecure. Over 90% of agricultural output is driven by smallholder farmers. Without expanding cultivated land, and given forecast population growth, the average land holding size in highland areas will be reduced to 0.7 ha by 2020, placing further pressure on rural incomes and food security. Agriculture contributes substantially to the overall Ethiopian economy. On a nominal GDP of USD 25.6 billion (World Bank, 2008), 43% was driven by the agricultural sector. Crop production accounts for 29%, with livestock at 12%, followed by the forestry sector with 4 percent. The sector contributed USD 1.4 billion to export earnings, crops and forestry account for 60% of overall export value, livestock for 28%, and remaining exports, a combination non-agricultural industry, primarily extractives and industrial production.

Agricultural growth as a driver of development

A high rate of agricultural growth has far-reaching positive implications for economic development of low-income countries in terms of increasing employment and accelerating poverty reduction. High agricultural growth also helps avoid the creation of mega-cities with large slum populations. In order to achieve this rapid agricultural growth with positive economy-wide linkages, however, it is necessary to engage “middle farmers”, large enough to adopt new technologies and produce significant marketed surpluses, but small and numerous enough to have spending patterns that drive a vibrant rural non-farm sector. Finally, public and private investments in road, electricity and telecommunications are also needed to reduce marketing costs and enable growth in rural market towns and secondary cities (Stiglitz 2009).

Agricultural development as an input to the industry

Agriculture is the mainstay of Ethiopia’s economy. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Food Program (WFP), agriculture sector contributes about 45% to 50% of GDP and provides employment to nearly 80% of the country’s population. Its growth is vital to the national economic development and well-being of the population. It produces a wide variety of products. The Government of Ethiopia drew up a long-term industrial strategy in 1994 known as Agricultural Development-Led Industrialization (ADLI). The Government is convinced that agriculture is the engine that can propel the socio-economic development of Ethiopia by providing the basis for industrialization and necessary surplus for the expansion of other sectors of the economy. The ADLI strategy gives priority to the development of agriculture as a primary stimulus for the sustainable growth of agro-industry and is expected to raise productivity in both agriculture and agro-industry through appropriate linkages between the two sectors, management, technology, human resources and various incentive mechanisms. Unfortunately, seven years after the ADLI strategy was formulated, agriculture remains essentially undeveloped. Agricultural research, in particular, which is the backbone of the development and sustainable growth of the sector, does not seem to benefit from the support it needs from agro-industries (FDRE and MOFE 2002).

Agriculture is the foundation of the national economy and plays a major role in the socioeconomic development of the country. The government launched the agricultural Development-led industrialization strategy where emphasis is put on linking research with development through well-focused and targeted transfer of appropriate technology to farmers. The agricultural development strategy is aimed at promoting growth, reducing poverty and attaining food self-sufficiency while protecting the environment through
safe use of improved technologies. The agricultural package program is spearheaded through demonstration and provision of improved varieties and required inputs such as improved seeds, fertilizers, and pesticides as well as better access to credit facilities (ICARDA et al. 1999). Moreover, Agricultural Development Led Industrialization (ADLI) sets out agriculture as a primary stimulus to generate increased output, employment and income for the people, and as the springboard for the development of the other sectors of the economy. A ‘green revolution’-like intensification of smallholder agriculture was seen as central by the government in implementing the strategy (Keeley and Scoones 2000).

Agricultural Development Led Industrialization (ADLI) and food security in Ethiopia

ADLI is seen as a long-term strategy to achieve faster growth and economic development by making use of technologies that are lab our using, but land augmenting, such as fertilizer and improved seeds and other cultural practices. During the first stage of ADLI, agriculture is to play a leading role in the growth of the economy. But the extremely small ratio of urbanization of the country threatens to make inadequacy of domestic demand a critical constraint. This implies that agriculture has to be made internationally competitive, and that part of its production has to be oriented towards exports. For agriculture to continue serving as an engine of growth in the coming years, through the domestic economy and international trade, there has to be progress in terms of commercialization, with more intensive farming, increasing proportion of marketable output and correspondingly decreasing ratio of production for own consumption. Aside from deepening technological progress, it will mean greater market interaction on the part of the farmer. Extension of credit to the small farmer will gain importance with commercialization of agriculture, and give impetus to the establishment of rural banks. Cooperatives play important roles in facilitating input and output marketing as well as in promoting the provision of rural finance. The problem of food security and agricultural growth in pastoral areas is being conceived in terms of the development of the pastoral economy in its entirety (FDRE and MOFE 2002).

Unless industry (secondary-modern goods-producing sectors) and services (tertiary-distributive and other services) grow in conjunction with agriculture (primary – agriculture and allied activities), it is not possible to ensure accelerated growth and sustainable development. In an agrarian economy such as Ethiopia, the resources for the development of the industrial sector need to be generated via primarily creating strong bondage between agriculture and industry and subsequently exploiting these linkages via the concerted efforts of non-state actors, particularly the non-peasant private sector (FDRE and MOFE 2002). Core of ADLI, are increase agricultural output and productivity, increase industrial output and productivity and close input-output linkage between the two sectors (Figure 1).

**Formulation of Agricultural Development Led Industry (ADLI)**

An Economic Development Strategy for Ethiopia (1994) productivity improvement of smallholder agriculture and industrialization based on utilization of domestic raw materials with labor-intensive technology. The strategy is akin to what is known as ADLI, framed into the Ethiopian context. Two-pronged approaches includes: (i) Smallholder agriculture – better agronomic practices, more labor use, research and extension, technology transfer, rural infrastructure (ii) Extensive mechanized agriculture and intensive farming – efficient land allocation, labor supply, health and road for new lands, research and training, quality, marketing, etc. Links and Transfers between Agriculture and Industry. Beside direct input-output links (Core ADLI), the two sectors may have the following links, which may occur directly financial system (Figure 2).

**Definition of technology**

New agricultural technology is generally a bundle or package of different technological elements such as improved production and productivity; plus the technical practices and skills needed for their effective use (SAMY 1998; Shahin 2004). Any definition of technology encompasses a wide range of phenomena. In the broadest sense, technology is defined as the translation of scientific laws into machines, tools, mechanical devices, instruments, innovation, procedures and techniques to accomplish tangible ends, attain specific needs, or manipulate the environment for practical purposes (Shahin 2004).

**AGRICULTURAL LINKAGE AND TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION SYSTEM IN ETHIOPIA**

According to Hagmann et al. (2002), linkages between service providers in to service delivery system are critical
to ‘make the system work as a system’. To bring sustainable agricultural development, partners within the sector must develop joint collaborative action to ensure efficient and effective input/service delivery system. To support actors in the sector, the Regional Research Extension, Farmers Linkage Advisory Council (REFLAC) started to strengthen the linkage among multiple actors. Potential actors like BoARD, Research stations, and FREG have participated in joint planning for action. The main aim of the council is to promote farmers participatory research through strong collaborative action by potential actors and to develop area-specific technologies through adaptation trials and farmers-to-farmers’ seed exchange specifically on cereal and pulse crops. The Regional Rural Capacity Building Project (RCBP) is in charge of facilitating joint activities carried out by partners through budget and material support, i.e., capacity building, workshops, joint monitoring, and evaluations, for members of advisory council and FREG.

Linkages and linkage mechanisms
Generation of technology is not an end by itself. It must be utilized by end users. This can be realized through the presence of effective linkage among the major stakeholders in the agriculture, agricultural knowledge, and information system. Linkages between major institutional actors in agricultural knowledge and information system are widely recognized as essential for an effective flow of technology and information between research, extension, and farmers. The types and nature of linkage between actors within the agricultural knowledge and information system directly influence the production and productivity of smallholder farmers. It is commonly recognized by agricultural knowledge and information system stakeholders that poor performance of the system is often related to linkage problems (Akalu and Adgo 2006).

Linkages and linkage mechanisms can be strong or sometimes poor or not working. The reasons for poor linkages are weak management capacity, inappropriate organizational structure, unfavorable reward systems, time and money constraints, inappropriate planning, little or no monitoring and evaluation of the process of interaction and different organizational cultures, expectations and operating procedures. Therefore, inter-organizational linkages should be assessed to maintain better aspects and negotiate improvements in existing linkages, linkage mechanisms and develop new relationships. Decisive factor that can influence the effectiveness of linkages includes intensity and formality of contacts, ways of contacts (one way or two), stakeholders’ awareness of other stakeholders function, relevance of services, urgency, timeliness, accessibility, quality of communication, control over the relationship, and mandate of representatives (ICRA 2010).

Causes of poor adoption by agriculture industry of technologies
The majority of the agriculture-industries do not link with local agriculture research industries which is a sign of serious disarticulation of the national system of innovation in Ethiopia. This situation is a serious constraint to the adequate growth of both agriculture and agro-industry in the country (Akalu and Adgo 2006). The slight improvement in the co-operation between agriculture-industries and agriculture research industries after 1999 may be ascribed mainly to the awareness created through a workshop organized by EARO in November 1999.

Factors preventing the agriculture-industries from forging links with local agriculture research industries are varied. Lack of information is the most overwhelming challenges as indicated by 74% of agriculture-industries that do not have any kind of collaboration with the agriculture research industries. According to 51% of the non-collaborating agriculture-industries, the second most important challenge is the poor relations between the agriculture-industries and local agriculture research industries. Only 11.4% of the non-collaborating agriculture-industries indicates that the technologies developed by local agriculture research industries either fail to respond to their needs or are irrelevant to the agriculture-industries. Agriculture research industries lack effective mechanisms of transferring their technologies to the end users. They are not successful in communicating effectively with agriculture-industries and most likely with farmers and, therefore, do not take appropriate actions that are conducive to the adoption of their technologies (Hagmann et al. 2002).

ADOPTION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES
Adoption was defined as the degree of use of a new technology in long-run equilibrium when a farmer has all the information about the new technology and its potential. Adoption refers to the decision to use a new technology, method, practice, etc. by a firm, farmer or consumer. Adoption of the farm level (individual adoption) reflects the farmer’s decisions to incorporate a new technology into the production process. On the other hand, aggregate adoption is the process of spread or diffusion of a new technology within a region or population. Therefore, a distinction exists between adoption at the individual farm level and aggregate adoption, within a targeted region or within a given geographical area (Feder et al. 1985).

Adoption of technological innovations in agriculture has attracted considerable attention among development economists because the majority of the population of less developed countries derives their livelihood from agricultural production and a new technology, which apparently offers opportunities to increase production and productivity (Feder et al. 1985). Agriculture progresses technologically as farmers adopt innovations. The extent to which farmers adopt available innovations and the speed by which they do so determines the impact of innovations in terms of productivity growth (Diederen et al. 2003). If an innovation is modified periodically, however, the equilibrium level of adoption will not be achieved. This situation requires the use of econometric procedures that can capture both the rate and the process of adoption (Getahun et al. 2000).
Process of agricultural technology adoption in developing countries

Literature on agriculture highlights two major drivers of successful agricultural technology adoption in developing countries: (i) the availability and affordability of technologies; and (ii) farmer expectations that adoption will remain profitable—both which determine the extent to which farmers are risk averse (Foster and Rosenzweig 2010). A number of factors drive the above expectations, ranging from availability and size of land, family labor, prices and profitability of agricultural enterprises, and peer effects. The conceptual framework presented here highlights the various pathways through which different factors influence household decisions to adopt agricultural technologies.

Based on extensive studies in Ethiopia, it has been shown that life-cycle effects are important drivers of agricultural technology adoption (Admassie and Asfaw 2004). In particular, younger as well as much older household heads are risk-averse and are less likely to adopt new technologies. On the other hand, the availability of adult family members within households may facilitate the process of technology because most farming households cannot easily acquire hired labor due to liquidity constraints.

Drivers for adoption of agricultural technologies and practices in Ethiopia

Adoption of improved technologies offers a myriad of potential advantages for increasing productivity and income for smallholder farmers. However, none of these advantages are single or immediate drivers for adoption of agricultural technologies by smallholders. Rather, there are many pushes and pull factors which force, encourage or discourage households to use improved technologies. Various models and approaches have been attempted and tested to identify drivers of adoption of technologies by potential clients (Zaltman et al. 1973; Rogers 1995). Some schools of thought approach the issue by combining the clients, technology attributes and institutional factors (Solomon et al. 2011) to understand the drivers of adoption and what factors drive its speed of diffusion and the path it follows.

Understanding adoption remains a challenge and drivers of adoption are poorly understood. Studies have shown that the misconception of adoption prevails both at micro level where technologies are promoted and at vertical scaling where technologies are generated in institutional settings (Doss 2006). Different studies have been conducted on adoption of agricultural technologies in Ethiopia (Alemitu 2011; Hailu et al. 2014). However, many of them focused on a single commodity or technology and did not consider the possible inter-relationships between the various practices and intensity of adoption of a package of technologies. Agricultural technologies include all kinds of improved techniques and practices that affect the growth of agricultural output. The technologies and practices that were assessed for adoption are a selection of extension packages as promoted by the extension system and implemented at grass root levels. Four agricultural technologies have been considered for developing a new adoption index for crop technology. These include (i) seeds of high-yielding varieties, (ii) inorganic fertilizers, (iii) pesticides, and (iv) row seeding. Similarly, to assess dairy technology adoption, a composite index of improved breed dairy cow ownership, improved feed and forage utilization and use of Artificial Insemination (AI) services was employed.

Empirical review of the literature on technology adoption in developing countries reveals that the various factors that influence technology adoption can be grouped into the following three broad categories. The factors related to the characteristics of producers, i.e., the farmers include education level, experience with the activity, age, gender, level of wealth, farm size, plot characteristics, labor availability, resource endowment, risk aversion, etc. The factors related to the characteristics and performance of the technology and practices include food and cash generation functions of the product, the perception by individuals of the characteristics, complexity and performance of the innovation, its availability and that of complementary inputs, the relative profitability of its adoption compared to substitute technologies, the period of recovery of investment, local adoption patterns of the technology, the susceptibility of the technology to environmental hazards, etc. The institutional factors include availability of credit, the availability, and quality of information on the technologies, accessibility of markets for products and inputs factors, the land tenure system, and the availability of adequate infrastructure, extension support, etc. Enabling policies and programs, market linkages, access to institutional support and credit were found to play a positive role in stimulating farmer investment in and adoption of sustainable technologies (Shiferaw et al. 2009). A fourth category is a biophysical environment that many studies also find to be an important conditioning factor in adoption of agricultural technologies (De Graaff et al. 2008; Solomon et al. 2012).

The importance of adoption of new technologies

The increasing complexities of environmental problems are likely to increase the necessities of new agricultural technologies that can be used to minimize the potential contribution of negative environmental consequences of agricultural production. Climate change will affect crop and livestock yields worldwide, which will lead to change in food and fiber consumption, prices of agricultural commodities, and farm income (USDA 2014). Technology adoption practices can include good agrarian practices, irrigation scheduling, conservation tillage, organic farming, erosion reduction, nitrogen fertilization and plastic covered horticulture (Bertuglia et al. 2006).

A study by (Maredia and Minde 2002) explored the relationship between profitability of Agricultural technologies and its adoption by farmers in Eastern Africa. The study showed that some profitable technologies such as improved cassava varieties in Uganda and improved coffee varieties in Kenya were adopted. Some other technologies that were not fully adopted or had been restricted to on-farm demonstration plots included wheat...
variety and hybrid maize in Ethiopia and the application of inorganic fertilizer on maize in Kenya. The lower adoption level was related to non-technological constraints (e.g. infrastructure, policies, input/output markets, and adverse climatic conditions) which reduced profitability and adoption of new technologies. For this reason, there is a need for continuous efforts to supply technologies that are adapted to the prevailing environmental conditions.

Factors affecting adoption

Technology adoption is important because it is a means that allows people to participate in a rapidly changing world where technology has become crucial to their lives. The word "adoption" refers to the stage in which a technology is selected for use by an individual or an organization. Besides, technology users differ widely in their attitudes towards technology. According to this source, technology adoption consists of five steps: First, technology adoption requires awareness. At this step, the potential users get adequate information about the benefits of the technology. The second step is assessment. At this level, the expected users evaluate the usefulness and usability of the technology, and the ease or difficulty of adopting. This is followed by acceptance or refusal of the users. At this stage, they decide to acquire and use the technology, or not. The fourth stage is learning. If they decide to use the technology, the users need to develop the skills and knowledge required to use the technology effectively. A study by Gabre-Madhin and Haggblade (2001) found that large commercial farmers adopted new high-yielding maize varieties more rapidly than small farm holders.

Koundouri et al. (2002) argue that farmers’ decision to adopt a new technology is affected by risk factors which are related to production risk and how the new technology can change the amount of production and profitability of the farmers. Kosarek et al. (2001) also found that farmers’ decision to adopt hybrid maize was determined by the expected returns (i.e., profitability) of the technology, the availability of hybrid seed, and risks associated with the expected outcomes of the new technology. The role of factors that influence adoption decisions is critical to successful agricultural development. Different factors determine the adoption of different agricultural innovations and technologies. Beliefs and perceptions of farmers, communities, and absence of institutional innovations have impact on adoption decision. There is a risk and uncertainty factors for small-scale farmers in adopting new technologies.

STAKEHOLDERS IN RURAL INNOVATION IN AMHARA REGION

Stakeholders involved in different rural innovation activities (technology generation, technology experimentation, technology diffusion, technology learning and training, input supply, value addition, and financial support) in Amhara Regional State are mapped in (Figure 3). The stakeholders are mapped according to the scale of involvement (user group, local, regional and national) and the role they play in rural innovation. It was found that the level of stakeholders involved in technology generation in Amhara Region ranged from national to regional level. However, there was no stakeholder involved in technology generation at District and Zone levels, except at farmer level, where focus was given to technology experimentation and diffusion (ICRA 2010). Most of the stakeholder organizations were involved in technology learning and training at different levels followed by those involved in technology generation and diffusion. The number of stakeholder organizations involved in value addition (agro-processing) is very few, followed by those involved in input supply and financial services (Figure 3). In fact, Amhara Region is one of the regions in the country where there is surplus agricultural production, although there are areas in the region that are not yet self-sufficient. The results suggest that although the region could increase its production and productivity through technology generation, experimentation and diffusion, the development of the agriculture sector is constrained by a lack of actors involved in value addition practices (ICRA 2010).

Most of the stakeholder organizations are very much interested in increasing agricultural production and productivity through generating, disseminating and supplying agricultural technologies/inputs (Figure 3). Others are interested in protecting the environment, increasing income of farmers, build their capacities and providing quality services to farmers (ICRA 2010).

Experience of linking stakeholder organizations in Amhara Region

Technology generation as a main component of technology development and research coordination was started in the country in the 1960’s (EARO 1998). Since then, technology transfer and linkage remained as peripheral responsibilities. In addition, poor participation of farmers and other actors in the platforms, absence of decision making power of the platforms due to lack of legalized authority, poor documentation, lack of clarity in roles and responsibilities given to stakeholder organizations, lack of a monitoring and evaluation system, lack of incentives-especially for facilitators, lack of institutional memory in the stakeholder organizations and in the platforms because of high turnover of staff and committee members, poor coordination/facilitation, professional bias and continued top-down approach were serious problems observed in the efforts of technology
transfer and linkage practices. According to ICRA (2010), reasons for poor institutional linkage are weak management capacity, inappropriate organizational structure, unfavorable reward systems, constraints on time and money, inappropriate planning, little or no monitoring and evaluation of the process of interaction and different organizational cultures, expectations and operating procedures. The weaknesses of earlier platforms were transferred to the next generation of multi-stakeholder platforms mainly due to the fact that new platforms were created without due evaluation of previous ones. Hence, any attempt that wishes to improve linkages and enhance multi-stakeholder rural innovation process in Amhara Region should consider and solve the problems indicated above but also strengthen existing and/or new platforms (e.g. Farmers Research and Extension Groups and ARDPLAC (ICRA 2010).

Agricultural research and extension linkages in the Amhara Region

The term linkage is a broad range of collaborations and exchange of useful information among all actors of the technology generation, dissemination, and utilization system. Earlier empirical studies in developing countries have identified weak links between research and extension as the major factor limiting the flow of information, knowledge, useful new technologies, and resources among actors in the technology-delivery-utilization system and recommend measures to overcome the widely acknowledged weaknesses (Belay 2002; Anderson and Feder 2004).

Linked with the country's first Growth and Transformation Plan (GTP I), which was launched in 2010, the issue of research-extension linkage has received in momentum. The plan targets the use of improved agricultural technologies along with transformation of the national agricultural technology delivery mechanisms mainly the national seed system are considered as one of the key interventions in the transformation of the agricultural sector and also to achieve the target of doubling agricultural production by 2015 (MoFED 2010). This requires designing and implementing new and strengthening previous approaches in the agricultural research and development endeavors to ensure improved availability of agricultural technologies along with their timely delivery to end users, the farmers, and pastoralists.

All the necessary organizations and support services related to the generation of knowledge and technology, input delivery, advisory service, and marketing and credit services are in place. But these actors all function in a largely uncoordinated and fragmented manner. According to Havelock (1986), cited in Kassa (2008), coordination or linkage symbolizes two systems connected by messages to form a greater system. Agricultural research and extension are examples of two systems that can be linked by information flow and feedback (Munuya et al. 2002). Setting up the institutional linkage to foster proper information flow and effective collaboration is the most serious institutional problem in developing research and extension programmes. Over recent decades, the Ethiopian government has made various efforts to build the capacity of the research and extension systems and strengthen their linkages to improve adoption and productivity. The linkage between research and extension systems plays a significant role in the generation and dissemination of appropriate technologies. Strengthening research and extension linkages must mean cultivating greater and more effective interaction among the stakeholders in the agricultural sector. Past efforts and the current status of linkage between agricultural research and extension, as well as among the whole range of actors involved in agricultural commodity value chains in Ethiopia, with a focus on the Amhara Region.

Technology adoption in Amhara Region

Feed chopping technology adoption

Traditionally, the farmers provide their animals all feeds without chopping while only chops the feeds (crop residues and grasses). Maize stalk, the major feed in the areas, is given to the cattle as it is and sometimes little chopping is done. It actually results in great wastage but it is used for energy. In case of chopping of maize stock, it takes 2-3hrs to chop a feed sufficient for four cattle. In general, it has a great contribution since it reduces volume for both transportation and piling. The technology is best suited for transportation of feed. However, they indicated the need to create awareness to the larger community during grass harvesting, create conditions where community piling could be done in a specific place, continuous monitoring, and evaluation by local experts Dagninet Amare et al. (2016).

Milk churns technology adoption

The horizontal churn is preferred for reduction of labor (tiresome) and possibility to be handled by even the men and young family members (Figure 4). Reduction of burden on women as in traditional methods it is the women who would properly do that and its capacity to separate more butter than the traditional methods as other benefits of the technology. The reason for better production of butter was attributed to rotation of the whole milk at the same time that is not possible in traditional systems. Further, the farmers confirmed that churn operation is simple. Further, the most vital advantage of churn is enabling extraction of butter that is marketed easily than milk. This is very crucial especially during fasting days and months where milk is mostly wasted Daginnet Amare et al. (2016). The quality of butter extracted using modern churn is thought better as the churning leads to better drying. It takes on average 38mins and 23mins to extract butter using the modern churner for a milk of same size, summer and winter.
Adaptive research linking research, extension and rural households for technology adoption

Given the high variability of agro-ecological zones, risk, and resource constraints facing rural households, there is a need for adaptive research that takes into account the diversity of conditions facing rural households. Establishing systematic linkages between research, extension, and rural households is an effective means of generating technologies appropriate for these conditions. Researchers must have frequent feedback about what is and is not working in terms of benefits to farmers. Rural household members and extension agents can not only provide that information but are often the best source of ideas on how to adapt a technology to local conditions. In addition, linkages involving rural households to set the research agenda help to ensure that new technologies are not only technologically viable but indeed address priority problems as perceived by rural households who are the ultimate users of technological solutions (ICRA 2010).

AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGIES UNDER CONSIDERATION

DeJanvry et al. (2011) stated both yield-increasing and cost-saving technologies are reducing the costs per unit of outputs. Yield-increasing technologies also allow for higher gross output if some inputs (especially land) are limited. Examples of yield-increasing dairy technologies include improved dairy breed and improved feed. Cost-saving technologies may also include new dairy technologies that require fewer complementary inputs and cultivation practices that could produce equal results with less effort. Risk-mitigating technologies help to minimize the risk of very bad outcomes in times of unfavorable environmental conditions, but might not increase yield in times of favorable conditions. Some examples of risk-mitigating technologies and conditions under which their impacts might not be observable are Drought-and disease-resistant cattle breed and Livestock vaccines inoculation. These technologies help increase the quality of outputs in some respect even if yield does not improve. These types of technologies differ from the others in that the main benefits accrue to consumers. The impact of quality-improving innovation is difficult to evaluate, in part because the channel of transmission from the availability of the new variety to the manifestation of benefits involves several actors. ‘Adoption’ by consumers requires that producers have already adopted and produced the variety so that it is available to consumers, and that consumers have chosen to consume it DeJanvry et al. (2011).

OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE THE PERFORMANCE OF AGRICULTURE

The existing government policies are considered to be in line with the CSOs and NGOs goals to bring about the smallholder farm development and ensure food security of the rural households. Over the last few years, the government’s agricultural development strategy emphasizes market orientation and commercialization of the smallholder agriculture. Hence, interventions that would support market creation and enhance market access have gained attention. Some NGOs have started to facilitate market functioning and value-adding through farmers' cooperatives and unions. The fact that there is a huge gap between the need and level of technology use by the smallholder farmers means that there is an opportunity for CSOs to operate towards filling this gap. It implies support for technology multiplication and training of farmers on how to multiply selected and adaptable agricultural technologies. Currently, although there is a high demand for improved agricultural technologies, the supply of inputs like improved seed does not meet the demand. Smallholder farmers can increase their income and food security if CSOs will support them to produce selected clean seeds and connect them to the market so that they can sell them (Berhanu et al. 2006). Market involvement increases the income opportunity of households and increases the adoption of agricultural and conservation technologies (Amsalu and de Graaff 2006). The positive association between poor access to market and adoption of improved breeds and feed is likely to be due to poorer households’ access to NGO credit and extension programmes in remote areas, which was the case also in the study by Benin et al. (2003). Improved access to irrigation through intervention in this area is another positive predictor of crop and livestock technology adoption (Wubeneh and Sanders 2006).

MAJOR CHALLENGES OF POOR ADOPTION BY AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY OF TECHNOLOGIES

The Ethiopian agricultural research system could not be as effective as expected for it has not been demand driven and not able to sole the complex problems of the agricultural sector. It was indicated that due to lack of proper coordination among the institutions and organizations that provide development services for the sector and stakeholders at large, meaningful changes and improvement could not be attained (Berhanu et al. 2006). The effective development of technology and dissemination of the knowledge/information were obtained from the research challenged by different factors. According to Day et al. (1994) lack of effective
communication is among the major barriers in the execution of research, dissemination of results to the desired user and effective application of the technology as proved by research. The absence of effective communication about the technologies might result in poor decision making, delay in the planned activities, and failure and deficiencies in the dissemination of research results thereby the technologies remain without use for the desired objectives/impacting the end user (Day et al.1994). This shows the importance of having strong linkage between the different information/knowledge system partners. This is because having good linkage between stakeholders ensures the transfer of information and knowledge among the different stakeholders properly and helps to achieve the desired objective.

Weak link between research, education, and extension and also the contact these organizations have with farmers is among the main bottlenecks in agricultural technology development, transfer, and adoption level and thereby reduce the contribution of the technologies to development (Van Crowder and Anderson 1997). Government policy and organizational structure also affect the linkage between the different parties in the agricultural sector. This is because of the different groups/members in the linkage/information transfer system act in accordance with the policy. According to Van Crowder and Anderson (1997), interaction among different actors helps to produce better knowledge different from those produced by one actor alone. Moreover, Hall et al. (2001) suggested the need for collaborative relationship between public and private, and research and non-research organizations to assure successful technology development.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

From this review, it is possible to conclude that, a high rate of agricultural growth has far-reaching positive implications for economic development of low-income countries in terms of increasing employment and accelerating poverty reduction. The Government of Ethiopia draws up a long-term industrial strategy (Agricultural Development-Led Industrialization) in 1994. Increase agricultural output and productivity, increase industrial output and productivity and close input-output linkage between the two sectors are the core of Agricultural Development-Led Industrialization. Based on this review, it is concluded that strengthening the link between stakeholders, enhancing the innovation of the sector and involvement of professionals in the system are the basis to improve the efficiency of the system. Having a strong linkage between research, education, extension, farmer and other concerned stakeholders has the power to improve the performance of the agricultural system. Collaboration among universities, Research institutes, TVET colleges, and industries was weak.

Agricultural linkage encloses generating and transferring agricultural technologies to enhance productivity, reduce loss, and improve the livelihoods of beneficiaries as well as the country’s economy. Technologies need to be properly packaged to meet the needs of the target clients and achieve the desired level of productivity, linkages between major institutional actors in agricultural knowledge and information system are widely recognized as essential for an effective flow of technology and information between research, extension, and farmers.

Majority of the agriculture industries do not link with local agriculture research industries. Most of the agricultural technologies promoted through the extension system and adoption levels are far below the expectation. Agriculture research industries lack effective mechanisms of transferring their technologies to the end users. Most of the agricultural technology adoption was conducted focusing on a single commodity or technology, and do not consider the possible inter-relationships between the various practices and intensity of adoption of a package of technologies. Lack of responsible body to transfer technology and no attempt of impact assessment after technology is transferred to users are the major challenges. Existing poor linkage of agriculture-industries with local agriculture research industry is a serious constraint to the adequate growth of both agriculture and agro-industry in the country.

Factors constraining the linkage between stakeholders and technology adoption level of Universities, TEVTs, agriculture research institutions and agriculture sector are wide ranging from poor linkage between stakeholders and weak involvement of professionals in the system. Weak link between research, education, and extension and the contact of these organizations have with farmers is among the main bottlenecks in agricultural technology development, transfer and adoption level. (i) There should be an action plan so as to effectively increase technology adoption and to strength between agriculture and industry in Ethiopia. (ii) Inter-organizational linkages should be assessed to maintain better aspects and negotiate improvements in existing linkages, linkage mechanisms and develop new relationships. (iii) Establishing systematic linkages between research, extension, and rural households is an effective means of generating technologies. (iv) Further study of the linkages between agriculture and industry and agriculture and research industry is needed. (v) Impact assessment of the transferred technology needed to bring about on the users livelihood and different feedback mechanisms can be used to do so. (vi) Therefore, strong University and Research – industry linkage are required in the country.
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